

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,573	10/30/2001	Mark Bosley	BOSL-00100	5080
75	90 11/07/2002			
Thomas B. Haverstock			EXAMINER	
HAVERRSTOCK & OWENS LLP Suite 420 HSIEH, S 260 Sheridan Avenue				HIH YUNG
Palo Alto, CA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
- ···· ,·-,			2837	<u>-</u> -

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 1/				
	10/003,573	BOSLEY, MARK	\sim				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	 -				
	Shih-yung Hsieh	2837					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap P riod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence addres	s				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	•	` ,					
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the second for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	D) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this community ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			erits is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2001</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documen							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Book * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	je				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·		lication).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has beer	received.	,				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152					

Application/Control Number: 10/003,573

Art Unit: 2837

- 1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the gripping feature in claims 3, 6, and 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walker (GB 2233141).

Regarding the above claims, Walker discloses the claimed invention (Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,573

Art Unit: 2837

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Walker in view of Carpenter et al. (1,254,577).

Regarding the above claims, Walker discloses the claimed invention except a

gripping feature configured on the planar body.

Carpenter et al. teach a pick having a gripping feature (2) configured on the

planar body (1) for providing a gripping surfaces. It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art to modify Walker's pick as taught by Carpenter et al. to

include a gripping feature configured on the planar body for the purpose of providing a

gripping surfaces.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to (David) S.Y.

Hsieh at telephone number (703) 308-1031.

Page 3

PRIMARY EXAMINER